

## **M25 junction 28 improvement scheme**

**TR010029**

### **9.48 Applicant's comments on responses to Mr and Mrs Jones D3a Response**

Rule 8 (1)(c)(ii)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

Volume 9

February 2021

## Infrastructure Planning

### Planning Act 2008

#### The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

#### M25 junction 28 scheme

#### Development Consent Order 202[x ]

---

#### 9.48 Applicant's comments on responses to Mr and Mrs Jones D3a Response

---

<b>Rule Number:</b>	Rule 8 (1)(c)(ii)
<b>Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference</b>	TR010029
<b>Application Document Reference</b>	TR010029/APP/9.48
<b>Author:</b>	M25 junction 28 scheme, Project Team, Highways England

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Status of Version</b>
0	25 February 2021	Deadline 3b

# Table of contents

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Pages</b>
1. Purpose and structure of reponses to written representations	4
2. REP3A-045 Mr and Mrs Jones of Grove Farm comments on responses to the ExA's written questions	5

## 1. Purpose and structure of responses to written representations

- 1.1.1 This document provides the comments of the applicant, Highways England, in response to Mr and Mrs Jones of Grove Farm's comments in response to the Examining Authority's Written Questions submitted on their behalf by Hinson Parry & Company to the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) on or before deadline 3a (18 February 2021).
- 1.1.2 Highways England has sought to provide comments where it is helpful to the Examination to do so, for instance where a representation includes a request for further information or clarification from Highways England or where Highways England considers that it would be appropriate for the Examining Authority (ExA) to have Highways England's views in response to a matter raised by an Interested Party in its representations. Where issues raised within a representation have been dealt with previously by Highways England, for instance in response to a question posed by the ExA in its first round of written questions or within one of the application documents submitted to the Examination, a cross reference to that response or document is provided to avoid unnecessary duplication. The information provided in this document should, therefore, be read in conjunction with the material to which cross references are provided.
- 1.1.3 Highways England has not provided comments on every point made within the representation (for instance, Highways England has not responded to comments made about the adequacy of its pre-application consultation given that Highways England has already provided a full report of the consultation it has undertaken as part of its application for the Development Consent Order (DCO)) and the Planning Inspectorate has already confirmed the adequacy of the pre-application consultation undertaken when the application was accepted for Examination. In some cases no comments have been provided, for instance, because the written representation was very short, or because it expressed objections in principle to the Scheme or expressions of opinion without supporting evidence.
- 1.1.4 For the avoidance of doubt, where Highways England has chosen not to comment on matters raised by Interested Parties, this is not an indication Highways England agrees with the point or comment raised or opinion expressed.

## 2. REP3A-045 Mr and Mrs Jones of Grove Farm comments on responses to the ExA's written questions

Response Reference	Representation Issue	Highways England Response
REP3A-045-1	<p>Further to my previous email we also wish to briefly comment on the responses received to the ExA questions that have been undertaken by the Applicant, Highways England.</p> <p>It is noted that at page 179 of this response that the applicant is still proposing a temporary noise barrier during construction and no vibration works within 20m of the property. In addition to this there will be tree planting to mitigate but this benefit won't be felt until 15 years post completion of the works.</p> <p>In response to this we again wish to stress, that we still feel that the noise and vibration will detrimentally impact the residential elements of Grove Farm and that additional noise insulating barriers need to be installed not only on a temporary basis during construction, but are retained post construction. This is because the noise will be to all side and especially the loop around the rear of Grove Farm which is in the path of the south-westerly prevailing winds.</p> <p>We note the comments relating to the property not being an NIA on DEFRA's list and the reasons for this, however, we still wish for it to be considered to be added to this list</p>	<p>Please refer to the response to paragraphs 17.1.4 to 17.1.5 and 17.5.2 to 17.5.4 within Table 2-1 of Highways England's response to the Local Impact Report from the London Borough of Havering submitted at Deadline 3a (REP3A-020).</p> <p>Noise Important Areas (NIAs) are defined by DEFRA as part of their strategic noise mapping process. The inclusion of the property on DEFRA's list for designated NIAs is not in the jurisdiction of Highways England, and the landowner would need to raise this with DEFRA directly.</p>

© Crown copyright (2021).

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence:

visit [www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/)  
write to the Information Policy Team, **The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU**,  
or email [psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk).

Printed on paper from well-managed forests and other controlled sources.

Registered office Bridge House, 1 Walnut Tree Close, Guildford GU1 4LZ  
Highways England Company Limited registered in England and Wales number 09346363